International Journal of Medicine
pISSN 1468-3814 | eISSN 2667-7008
https://theinternationalmedicine.com/

Original Research Article *DOI: 10.61336/im/26-4-26*

Ventilatory Efficiency, VO_2 Max, and Respiratory Coupling in Trained and Untrained Adults: Insights from Breath-by-Breath Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing

[1] **Syed Durra Shaewar,** [2] **Dr. Manila Jain**
[1]*Research Scholar Department of Physiology Index Medical College Hospital and Research Center Malwanchal University Supervisor*
[2]*Professor Department of Physiology Index Medical College Hospital and Research Center Malwanchal University*

Correspondence:
Dr. Manila Jain
Professor Department of Physiology Index Medical College Hospital and Research Center Malwanchal University

Received: February 10, 2026
Revised: February 25, 2026
Accepted: March 09, 2026
Published: April 09, 2026

Abstract

Background: Respiratory adaptations to endurance training encompass both structural and functional changes that enhance ventilatory efficiency and oxygen transport. Quantitative comparative data on breath-by-breath ventilatory responses across trained and untrained populations during graded exercise remain limited. ***Objective:*** To assess resting and exercise ventilatory parameters, maximal oxygen uptake (VO_2 max), ventilatory efficiency indices (VE/VO_2 ratio, VE/VCO_2 slope), and cardiorespiratory coupling in trained versus untrained healthy adults. ***Methods:*** Sixty participants (30 trained, 30 untrained; age 18–35 years) underwent standardized graded treadmill exercise testing with breath-by-breath gas analysis using a calibrated CPET system. Tidal volume (TV), respiratory rate (RR), minute ventilation (VE), VO_2, and VCO_2 were recorded continuously. VE/VO_2 and VE/VCO_2 slope were derived as indices of ventilatory efficiency. ***Results:*** Trained individuals demonstrated significantly lower resting RR (13.2 ± 2.1 vs 16.4 ± 2.8 breaths/min; $p < 0.001$), higher resting TV (540 ± 60 vs 460 ± 55 mL; $p < 0.001$), greater VO_2 max (58.2 ± 6.4 vs 42.6 ± 5.8 mL/kg/min; $p < 0.001$), lower VE/VO_2 (24.8 ± 2.4 vs 29.2 ± 3.1; $p < 0.001$), and lower VE/VCO_2 slope (27.1 ± 2.6 vs 31.4 ± 3.3; $p < 0.001$). Strong correlations were observed between VE and VCO_2 ($r = 0.91$, $p < 0.001$) and cardiac output vs VO_2 ($r = 0.82$, $p < 0.001$). ***Conclusion:*** Endurance training produces clinically meaningful improvements in ventilatory efficiency and maximal oxygen uptake, with strong evidence of synchronized cardiovascular-respiratory coupling. These findings support the use of ventilatory efficiency indices alongside VO_2 max in comprehensive cardiorespiratory fitness assessment.

Keywords: VO_2 max; minute ventilation; tidal volume; VE/VCO_2 slope; cardiopulmonary exercise testing; ventilatory efficiency; cardiorespiratory coupling

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

INTRODUCTION

Maximal oxygen uptake (VO_2 max) represents the gold-standard measure of cardiorespiratory fitness and is among the most powerful predictors of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. While cardiac output is widely recognized as its primary central determinant, the respiratory system plays an equally critical—and often underappreciated—role in modulating exercise capacity through ventilatory efficiency, gas exchange optimization, and chemoreceptor-mediated feedback.

Endurance training induces respiratory adaptations including increased respiratory muscle strength, improved diaphragmatic endurance, enhanced alveolar-capillary surface area, and refined ventilatory control. These changes manifest as slower and deeper breathing patterns (lower respiratory rate, higher tidal volume) at rest and during submaximal exercise, and as improved ventilatory equivalents for oxygen (VE/VO_2) and carbon dioxide (VE/VCO_2) during graded exercise testing.

The VE/VCO_2 slope has emerged as a clinically significant index of ventilatory efficiency. In healthy populations, lower VE/VCO_2 slopes reflect optimized ventilation–perfusion matching and chemoreceptor sensitivity. Conversely, elevated slopes—as seen in heart failure and pulmonary arterial hypertension—indicate ventilatory inefficiency and portend poorer outcomes.

Despite the established importance of these indices, few studies have simultaneously captured resting, peak, and submaximal respiratory responses alongside cardiorespiratory coupling metrics in trained versus untrained adults using a standardized breath-by-breath CPET protocol. The present study addressed this gap by evaluating integrated respiratory function and its correlation with cardiovascular performance during graded exercise.

2. METHODS

2.1 Study Design and Participants

A comparative cross-sectional study enrolled 60 healthy adults (30 trained, 30 untrained) aged 18–35 years from university campuses and fitness centers. Trained participants maintained ≥5 structured endurance exercise sessions/week for ≥2 years; untrained participants performed <2 sessions/week for the prior 12 months. Groups were matched for age, sex, height, weight, and BMI. The Institutional Ethics Committee approved the study; all participants provided written informed consent.

2.2 Respiratory Measurements

A calibrated CPET system with breath-by-breath gas analysis measured tidal volume (TV), respiratory rate (RR), minute ventilation (VE = RR × TV), oxygen uptake (VO_2), and carbon dioxide production (VCO_2) continuously throughout the protocol. Gas analyzers were calibrated before each session using certified reference gases. The VE/VCO_2 slope was calculated by least-squares regression across all data points below the respiratory compensation point. Oxygen pulse was derived as VO_2/HR at peak exercise.

2.3 Exercise Protocol

Participants underwent standardized graded treadmill testing: 3-minute warm-up, followed by incremental workload increases every 3 minutes to volitional fatigue or ≥85% predicted maximal HR. Pre-test standardization included avoidance of strenuous activity (24 h), caffeine/alcohol (12 h), and consumption of a light meal ≥3 hours before testing. The laboratory was maintained at 22–24°C with 40–60% relative humidity.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics are expressed as mean ± SD. Between-group comparisons used independent t-tests after Shapiro–Wilk normality verification. Pearson correlation coefficients assessed cardiorespiratory coupling strength. Repeated-measures ANOVA evaluated within-group responses across exercise stages. Effect sizes were computed as Cohen's d. Statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$ (SPSS, version XX).

RESULTS

3.1 Resting Respiratory Parameters

Trained individuals exhibited significantly lower resting respiratory rates and higher tidal volumes than untrained participants. Resting minute ventilation was comparable between groups (7.1 ± 1.2 vs 7.5 ± 1.4 L/min; $p = 0.18$), paralleling the preserved resting cardiac output observed for cardiovascular parameters—indicating compensatory regulation through deeper, slower breathing.

* Statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).

3.2 Peak Exercise and Ventilatory Efficiency

At peak exercise, trained individuals demonstrated significantly higher tidal volumes and greater VO_2 max, achieved with lower respiratory rates and superior ventilatory efficiency indices. Table 2 presents peak and efficiency data.

Table 2. Peak Exercise Respiratory Parameters and Efficiency Indices

Parameter	Trained (n=30)	Untrained (n=30)	p-value
Peak RR (breaths/min)	42 ± 5	48 ± 6	<0.01*
Peak Tidal Volume (mL)	2,850 ± 320	2,200 ± 280	<0.001*
Peak VE (L/min)	118 ± 15	105 ± 12	<0.01*
VO_2 max (mL/kg/min)	58.2 ± 6.4	42.6 ± 5.8	<0.001*
VE/VO_2 Ratio	24.8 ± 2.4	29.2 ± 3.1	<0.001*
VE/VCO_2 Slope	27.1 ± 2.6	31.4 ± 3.3	<0.001*
Oxygen Pulse (mL/beat)	20.4 ± 2.1	14.8 ± 1.9	<0.001*

* Statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). RR = respiratory rate; VE = minute ventilation; VO_2 max = maximal oxygen uptake.

3.3 Cardiorespiratory Coupling

Strong correlations were observed between cardiovascular and respiratory variables: cardiac output vs VO_2 ($r = 0.82$, $p < 0.001$), VE vs VCO_2 ($r = 0.91$, $p < 0.001$), and stroke volume vs oxygen pulse ($r = 0.89$, $p < 0.001$). These correlations underscore the integrated co-regulation of cardiovascular and respiratory responses during graded exercise.

Table 1. Resting Respiratory Parameters

Parameter	Trained (n=30)	Untrained (n=30)	p-value
Respiratory Rate (breaths/min)	13.2 ± 2.1	16.4 ± 2.8	<0.001*
Tidal Volume (mL)	540 ± 60	460 ± 55	<0.001*
Minute Ventilation (L/min)	7.1 ± 1.2	7.5 ± 1.4	0.18

DISCUSSION

The present study provides detailed breath-by-breath evidence of superior ventilatory efficiency in endurance-trained adults. The most striking finding was the markedly higher VO_2 max in trained individuals (58.2 vs 42.6 mL/kg/min; $p < 0.001$)—a 37% difference representing a substantial enhancement of maximal aerobic capacity. This difference reflects coordinated improvements in both convective oxygen delivery (cardiac output) and peripheral oxygen extraction (arteriovenous O_2 difference), consistent with the integrative Fick equation model.

The lower resting respiratory rate and higher tidal volume in trained individuals are hallmark adaptations reflecting improved respiratory muscle efficiency and greater lung compliance. Critically, resting minute ventilation was equivalent between groups, mirroring the preserved resting cardiac output—demonstrating that trained individuals achieve equivalent alveolar ventilation through a more economical pattern.

The significantly lower VE/VCO_2 slope in trained individuals (27.1 vs 31.4; $p < 0.001$) is a finding of particular clinical significance. The VE/VCO_2 slope reflects the efficiency of CO_2 elimination per unit of ventilation. Lower values indicate improved ventilation–perfusion matching and more sensitive chemoreceptor regulation. This index is increasingly recognized not only in clinical populations (heart failure, pulmonary hypertension) but also as a sensitive discriminator of cardiorespiratory fitness in healthy adults.

The lower VE/VO_2 ratio (24.8 vs 29.2; $p < 0.001$) further demonstrates that trained individuals require less ventilatory work to extract a given quantity of oxygen. This ventilatory economy reduces the oxygen cost of breathing itself—freeing aerobic resources for working musculature—and likely contributes to superior exercise tolerance and submaximal efficiency.

The exceptionally strong correlation between VE and VCO_2 ($r = 0.91$) across the entire sample provides compelling evidence of synchronized cardiorespiratory coupling. This coupling reflects the tight integration between metabolic CO_2 production, chemoreceptor signaling, and ventilatory drive—a coordination that appears to be enhanced by endurance training. The higher oxygen pulse in trained individuals (20.4 vs 14.8 mL/beat) further integrates cardiovascular stroke volume enhancement with respiratory oxygen delivery, confirming multi-system coordination.

5. CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

These findings have several translational implications. First, the VE/VCO_2 slope and VE/VO_2 ratio should be considered as complements to VO_2 max in comprehensive cardiorespiratory fitness assessment, as they capture ventilatory efficiency dimensions not reflected in oxygen uptake alone. Second, the strong cardiorespiratory coupling correlations support the use of integrated CPET protocols in both athletic performance monitoring and preventive healthcare screening.

Future studies should incorporate longitudinal training interventions to establish causal adaptation timelines, include heart rate variability to characterize autonomic contributions to coupling, and explore sex- and age-stratified responses. Integration of machine learning with high-resolution CPET data may further delineate individualized cardiorespiratory adaptation signatures.

6. CONCLUSION

Endurance training is associated with comprehensive respiratory adaptation including slower and deeper breathing patterns at rest, superior peak tidal volumes, markedly higher VO_2 max, and significantly improved ventilatory efficiency as reflected by lower VE/VO_2 and VE/VCO_2 slope values. Strong correlations between ventilatory and cardiovascular variables confirm tightly synchronized cardiorespiratory coupling in trained adults.

These findings collectively demonstrate that respiratory adaptation to training extends beyond VO_2 max to encompass ventilatory economy, gas exchange efficiency, and integrated cardiorespiratory coordination—parameters with significant implications for exercise performance, clinical assessment, and long-term cardiovascular health.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

This research received no specific external funding.

REFERENCES

[1] Wasserman K, et al. Principles of Exercise Testing and Interpretation. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2012.
[2] Stickland MK, et al. Exercise capacity and the capacity for exercise training: implications for pulmonary rehabilitation. Respirology. 2018;23(4):381–391.
[3] Hollenberg M, Tager IB. Oxygen uptake efficiency slope: an index of exercise performance and cardiorespiratory reserve requiring only submaximal exercise. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2000;36(1):194–201.
[4] Arena R, et al. The clinical significance of the ventilatory response to exercise in the diagnosis and management of heart failure. J Card Fail. 2008;14(7):594–601.
[5] Joyner MJ, Coyle EF. Endurance exercise performance: the physiology of champions. J Physiol. 2008;586(1):35–44.